

## Gradient Methods

Lecturer: Jiaming Liang

September 7, 2023

# 1 Convex smooth functions

## 1.1 Smoothness

**Definition 1.** A function is called  $L$ -smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if its gradient is  $L$ -Lipschitz continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

**Lemma 1.** The following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $f$  is  $L$ -smooth;

(ii) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle \leq \frac{L}{2}\|x - y\|^2;$$

(iii) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{1}{2L}\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2 \leq f(y);$$

(iv) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{L}\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2 \leq \langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle;$$

(v) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \leq L\|x - y\|^2.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla f(x + \tau(y - x)) - \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^1 L\tau\|y - x\|^2 d\tau = \frac{L}{2}\|y - x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii)

Fix  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and consider  $\phi(y) = f(y) - \langle \nabla f(x_0), y \rangle$ . Note that the optimal solution is  $y_* = x_0$ . Using (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(y_*) &= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) \leq \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \phi(y) + \langle \nabla \phi(y), x - y \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x - y\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \min_{r \geq 0} \left\{ \phi(y) - r \|\nabla \phi(y)\| + \frac{L}{2} r^2 \right\} = \phi(y) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla \phi(y)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (iii) holds in view of  $\nabla \phi(y) = \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x_0)$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv)

We obtain (iv) by adding two copies of (iii) with  $x$  and  $y$  interchanged.

(iv)  $\implies$  (i)

This is simply by (iv) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

(ii)  $\implies$  (v)

We obtain (v) by adding two copies of (ii) with  $x$  and  $y$  interchanged.

(v)  $\implies$  (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle &= \int_0^1 \langle \nabla f(x + \tau(y - x)) - \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \tau \|y - x\|^2 d\tau = \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 1.2 Gradient method

---

**Algorithm 1** Gradient method

---

**Input:** Initial point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

**for**  $k \leftarrow 0, \dots, K - 1$  **do**

    Step 1. Choose  $h_k > 0$ .

    Step 2. Compute  $x_{k+1} = x_k - h_k \nabla f(x_k)$ .

**end for**

**Output:**  $x_K$

---

**Theorem 1.** Assume  $f$  is convex and  $L$ -smooth and choose  $h_k = h \in (0, 2/L)$  for every  $k \geq 0$ . Then, the Gradient Method generates a sequence of points  $\{x_k\}$  satisfying

$$f(x_k) - f_* \leq \frac{2[f(x_0) - f_*] \|x_0 - x_*\|^2}{2\|x_0 - x_*\|^2 + kh(2 - Lh)[f(x_0) - f_*]}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $r_k := \|x_k - x_*\|$ . Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1}^2 &= \|x_k - x_* - h\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \\ &= r_k^2 - 2h \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_k - x_* \rangle + h^2 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \\ &= r_k^2 - 2h \langle \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_*), x_k - x_* \rangle + h^2 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 1(iv), we have

$$r_{k+1}^2 \leq r_k^2 - h \left( \frac{2}{L} - h \right) \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2.$$

Therefore,  $r_k \leq r_0$ . Using Lemma 1(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_{k+1}) &\leq f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 \\ &= f(x_k) - \alpha \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = h(1 - Lh/2)$ . This inequality gives the descent property of the function value. Define  $\Delta_k = f(x_k) - f_*$ . Then,

$$\Delta_k \leq \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_k - x_* \rangle \leq r_k \|\nabla f(x_k)\| \leq r_0 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|.$$

Thus,

$$\Delta_{k+1} \leq \Delta_k - \frac{\alpha}{r_0^2} \Delta_k^2.$$

Dividing the above inequality by  $\Delta_{k+1}\Delta_k$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_{k+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\Delta_k} + \frac{\alpha}{r_0^2} \frac{\Delta_k}{\Delta_{k+1}} \geq \frac{1}{\Delta_k} + \frac{\alpha}{r_0^2}.$$

Summing up these inequalities, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_k} \geq \frac{1}{\Delta_0} + \frac{\alpha k}{r_0^2}.$$

The conclusion follows by inverting the above inequalities.  $\square$

Choosing  $h = 1/L$  maximizes  $h(2 - Lh)$  and hence the denominator, so it is the optimal stepsize. We have the following convergence rate of the Gradient Method:

$$f(x_k) - f_* \leq \frac{2L[f(x_0) - f_*]\|x_0 - x_*\|^2}{2L\|x_0 - x_*\|^2 + k[f(x_0) - f_*]}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

Again, using the smoothness of  $f$ , we have

$$f(x_0) \leq f_* + \langle \nabla f(x_*), x_0 - x_* \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x_0 - x_*\|^2 = f_* + \frac{L}{2} \|x_0 - x_*\|^2.$$

We have the following result.

**Corollary 1.** Assume  $f$  is convex and  $L$ -smooth and choose  $h_k = h = 1/L$  for every  $k \geq 0$ . Then,

$$f(x_k) - f_* \leq \frac{2L\|x_0 - x_*\|^2}{k+4}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 2.** If  $f$  is continuously differentiable and convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\nabla f(x_*) = 0$ , then  $x_*$  is the global minimum of  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* It follows from the convexity of  $f$  that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(x) \geq f(x_*) + \langle \nabla f(x_*), x - x_* \rangle = f(x_*).$$

□

Hence, it is also interesting in finding a point with a small norm of the gradient:

$$\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

(See PS1 for more results.)

## 2 Strongly convex and smooth functions

**Definition 2.** A proper extended real-valued function  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex if and only if

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) - \lambda(1 - \lambda)\frac{\mu}{2}\|x - y\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda \in [0, 1].$$

**Definition 3.** A continuously differentiable function  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2}\|x - y\|^2.$$

**Definition 4.** A twice continuously differentiable function  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if and only if for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq \mu I.$$

**Lemma 2.** If a continuously differentiable function  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then we have

(i) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{1}{2\mu}\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2;$$

(ii) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \leq \frac{1}{\mu}\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2;$$

(iii) for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\mu \|x - y\| \leq \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|.$$

*Proof.* The proof is left as a HW problem. □

**Lemma 3.** Assume  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $L$ -smooth. For every  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \geq \frac{\mu L}{\mu + L} \|x - y\|^2 + \frac{1}{\mu + L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|^2.$$

*Proof.* The proof is left as a HW problem. □

We are now ready to estimate the performance of the Gradient Method on the class of strongly convex functions.

**Theorem 3.** Assume  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $L$ -smooth and choose  $0 \leq h \leq 2/(\mu + L)$ . Then, the Gradient Method generates a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  such that

$$\|x_k - x_*\|^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{2h\mu L}{\mu + L}\right)^k \|x_0 - x_*\|^2.$$

If  $h = 2/(\mu + L)$ , then

$$\|x_k - x_*\| \leq \left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^k \|x_0 - x_*\|,$$

and

$$f(x_k) - f_* \leq \frac{L}{2} \left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^{2k} \|x_0 - x_*\|^2, \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

where  $\kappa = L/\mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r_k := \|x_k - x_*\|$ . Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1}^2 &= \|x_k - x_* - h\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \\ &= r_k^2 - 2h \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_k - x_* \rangle + h^2 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \\ &= r_k^2 - 2h \langle \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_*), x_k - x_* \rangle + h^2 \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3, we have

$$r_{k+1}^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{2h\mu L}{\mu + L}\right) r_k^2 + h \left(h - \frac{2}{\mu + L}\right) \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2.$$

It follows from the assumption that  $0 \leq h \leq 2/(\mu + L)$  that

$$r_{k+1}^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{2h\mu L}{\mu + L}\right) r_k^2.$$

So the first conclusion holds by applying the above inequality recursively. The second conclusion holds by plugging in  $h = 2/(\mu + L)$ . The last conclusion follows from Lemma 1(ii) and the second conclusion. □

Note that the fastest rate of convergence is achieved for  $h = 2/(\mu + L)$ . In this case, we have

$$\|x_k - x_*\| \leq \left(\frac{L - \mu}{L + \mu}\right)^k \|x_0 - x_*\|.$$

### 3 Optimization with constraints

Let us consider now a smooth optimization problem with the *set constraint*:

$$\min_{x \in Q} f(x) \tag{1}$$

where  $Q$  is a closed convex set.

In the unconstrained case, the optimality condition is

$$\nabla f(x) = 0.$$

But this condition does not work with the set constraint. Consider the following univariate minimization problem:

$$\min_{x \geq 0} x.$$

Here  $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 0\}$  and  $f(x) = x$ . Note that  $x_* = 0$  but  $f'(x_*) = 1 > 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $f$  be convex and differentiable and  $Q$  be closed and convex. A point  $x_*$  is a solution to (1) if and only if*

$$\langle \nabla f(x_*), x - x_* \rangle \geq 0 \tag{2}$$

for all  $x \in Q$ .

*Proof.* Indeed, if (2) is true, then

$$f(x) \geq f(x_*) + \langle \nabla f(x_*), x - x_* \rangle \geq f(x_*)$$

for all  $x \in Q$ . On the other hand, let  $x_*$  be a solution to (1). Assume that there exists some  $x \in Q$  such that

$$\langle \nabla f(x_*), x - x_* \rangle < 0.$$

Consider the function

$$\phi(\alpha) = f(x_* + \alpha(x - x_*)), \quad \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

Note that

$$\phi(0) = f(x_*), \quad \phi'(0) = \langle \nabla f(x_*), x - x_* \rangle < 0.$$

Therefore, for  $\alpha$  small enough we have

$$f(x_* + \alpha(x - x_*)) = \phi(\alpha) < \phi(0) = f(x_*).$$

This is a contradiction. □

The next statement is often addressed as the growth property of strongly convex functions.

**Theorem 5.** *If  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex, then for any  $x \in Q$ , we have*

$$f(x) \geq f(x_*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_*\|^2.$$

*Proof.* Indeed, by strong convexity and Theorem 4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq f(x_*) + \langle \nabla f(x_*), x - x_* \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_*\|^2 \\ &\geq f(x_*) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x - x_*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $f$  be  $\mu$ -strongly convex with  $\mu > 0$  and the set  $Q$  is closed and convex. Then there exists a unique solution  $x_*$  to (1).*

*Proof.* The proof is left as a HW problem.

□

### 3.1 Minimization over simple sets

Let us consider the following minimization problem over a set

$$\min_{x \in Q} f(x)$$

where  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $L$ -smooth, and  $Q$  is a closed convex set. We assume that  $Q$  is simple enough so that projection onto  $Q$  is easy to compute.

**Definition 5.** *Let  $Q$  be a closed set and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define*

$$\text{proj}_Q(x_0) = \arg \min_{x \in Q} \|x - x_0\|.$$

We call  $\text{proj}_Q(x_0)$  the *Euclidean projection* of the point  $x_0$  onto the set  $Q$ .

**Lemma 4.** *For any two points  $x_1$  and  $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have*

$$\|\text{proj}_Q(x_1) - \text{proj}_Q(x_2)\| \leq \|x_1 - x_2\|.$$

*Proof.* The proof is left as a HW problem.

□

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $x_*$  be an optimal solution to (1). Then, for any  $h > 0$ , we have*

$$\text{proj}_Q(x_* - h\nabla f(x_*)) = x_*.$$

*Proof.* The proof is left as a HW problem.

□

## Examples

- nonnegative orthant  $Q = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ ,

$$\text{proj}_Q(x) = [x]_+;$$

- box  $Q = \text{Box}[\ell, u]$ ,

$$\text{proj}_Q(x) = (\min \{\max \{x_i, \ell_i\}, u_i\})_{i=1}^n;$$

- affine set  $Q = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Ax = b\}$ ,

$$\text{proj}_Q(x) = x - A^T (AA^T)^{-1} (Ax - b);$$

- $l_2$  ball  $Q = B_{\|\cdot\|_2}[c, r]$ ,

$$\text{proj}_Q(x) = c + \frac{r}{\max \{\|x - c\|_2, r\}} (x - c);$$

- half-space  $Q = \{x : a^T x \leq \alpha\}$ ,

$$\text{proj}_Q(x) = x - \frac{[a^T x - \alpha]_+}{\|a\|^2} a.$$

---

**Algorithm 2** Gradient method for simple set

---

**Input:** Initial point  $x_0 \in Q$

**for**  $k \leftarrow 0, \dots, K - 1$  **do**

    Step 1. Choose  $h_k$ .

    Step 2. Compute  $x_{k+1} = \text{proj}_Q(x_k - h_k \nabla f(x_k))$ .

**end for**

**Output:**  $x_K$

---

**Theorem 8.** Assume  $f$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and  $L$ -smooth and choose  $h_k = h \in (0, 2/(\mu + L)]$ . Then, the Gradient Method generates a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  such that

$$\|x_k - x_*\| \leq (1 - \mu h)^k \|x_0 - x_*\|.$$

If  $h = 2/(\mu + L)$ , then

$$\|x_k - x_*\| \leq \left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^k \|x_0 - x_*\|$$

and

$$f(x_k) - f_* \leq \frac{L}{2} \left(\frac{\kappa - 1}{\kappa + 1}\right)^{2k} \|x_0 - x_*\|^2, \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

where  $\kappa = L/\mu$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r_k := \|x_k - x_*\|$ . Then, using Theorem 7 and step 2 of Algorithm 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} r_{k+1}^2 &= \|\text{proj}_Q(x_k - h\nabla f(x_k)) - \text{proj}_Q(x_* - h\nabla f(x_*))\|^2 \\ &\leq \|x_k - x_* - h[\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_*)]\|^2 \\ &= r_k^2 - 2h \langle \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_*), x_k - x_* \rangle + h^2 \|\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_*)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is due to Lemma 4. Using Lemma 3, we have

$$r_{k+1}^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{2h\mu L}{\mu + L}\right) r_k^2 + h \left(h - \frac{2}{\mu + L}\right) \|\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_*)\|^2.$$

Using Lemma 2(iii), we have

$$\mu \|x - y\| \leq \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|$$

and the assumption that  $0 \leq h \leq 2/(\mu + L)$ , we further have

$$r_{k+1}^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{2h\mu L}{\mu + L} + \mu^2 h \left(h - \frac{2}{\mu + L}\right)\right) r_k^2 = (1 - \mu h)^2 r_k^2.$$

So the first conclusion holds by applying the above inequality recursively. The second conclusion holds by plugging in  $h = 2/(\mu + L)$ . The last conclusion follows from the second conclusion, Lemma 1(ii), and Theorem 4.  $\square$

Note that the convergence rate here is the same as in the unconstrained one.