A Doubly Accelerated Inexact Proximal Point Method for Nonconvex Composite Optimization Problem

Jiaming Liang * Renato D.C. Monteiro*

*H. Milton Stewart School of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology

October 23, 2019

INFORMS Annual Meeting - Seattle, WA

(日) (部) (注) (注) (言)















We are interested in the nonconvex smooth composite optimization (N-SCO) problem

$$\phi_* := \min \{ \phi(z) := f(z) + h(z) : z \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$$
(1)

where the following conditions are assumed to hold:

(A1)
$$h \in \overline{\operatorname{Conv}}(\mathbb{R}^n);$$

(A2) f is a differentiable function on dom h and there exist scalars $M \ge m > 0$ such that

$$f(z') \ge \ell_f(z';z) - \frac{m}{2} \|z' - z\|^2 \quad \forall z, z' \in \mathrm{dom}\,h.$$
⁽²⁾

holds and ∇f is *M*-Lipschitz continuous on dom *h*, i.e.,

$$\|\nabla f(z') - \nabla f(z)\| \le M \|z' - z\| \quad \forall z', z \in \operatorname{dom} h;$$

(A3) the diameter D of dom h is finite.

•
$$\hat{\rho}$$
-approximate solution:
if $(\hat{z}, \hat{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies
 $\hat{v} \in \nabla f(\hat{z}) + \partial h(\hat{z}), \quad \|\hat{v}\| \leq \hat{\rho}$ (3)
• $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\varepsilon})$ -prox-approximate solution:
if $(\lambda, z^-, z, w, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies
 $w \in \partial_{\varepsilon} \left(\phi + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \| \cdot -z^- \|^2 \right) (z), \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} (z^- - z) \right\| \leq \bar{\rho}, \quad \varepsilon \leq \bar{\varepsilon}.$ (4)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

5/26

Refinement

The next proposition shows how an approximate solution as in (3) can be obtained from a prox-approximate solution by performing a composite gradient step.

Proposition

Let $h \in \overline{\text{Conv}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and f be a differentiable function on dom h whose gradient is M-Lipschitz continuous on dom h. Let $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\varepsilon}) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{++}$ and a $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\varepsilon})$ -prox-approximate solution $(\lambda, z^-, z, w, \varepsilon)$ be given and define

$$z_{f} := \underset{u}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \ell_{f}(u; z) + h(u) + \frac{M + \lambda^{-1}}{2} \|u - z\|^{2} \right\},$$
(5)
$$q_{f} := [M + \lambda^{-1}](z - z_{f}),$$
(6)
$$v_{f} := q_{f} + \nabla f(z_{f}) - \nabla f(z).$$
(7)

Then, (z_f, v_f) satisfies

$$v_f \in \nabla f(z_f) + \partial h(z_f), \quad \|v_f\| \le 2\|q_f\| \le 2\left[\bar{\rho} + \sqrt{2\bar{\varepsilon}(M + \lambda^{-1})}\right].$$

- S. Ghadimi and G. Lan (2016) Accelerated gradient methods for nonconvex nonlinear and stochastic programming (AG method)
 - The first time that the convergence of the AG method has been established for solving nonconvex nonlinear programming
 - Small stepsize
- W. Kong, J.G. Melo and R.D.C. Monteiro (2018) *Complexity of a quadratic penalty accelerated inexact proximal point method for solving linearly constrained nonconvex composite programs* (AIPP method)
 - Apply an accelerated inexact proximal point method for solving approximately each prox-subproblem
 - Large stepsize

• AG by Ghadimi and Lan

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MmD^2}{\hat{
ho}^2} + \left(\frac{Md_0}{\hat{
ho}}\right)^{2/3}\right)$$

• AIPP by Kong, Melo and Monteiro

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{Mm}}{\hat{\rho}^2}\min\left\{\phi(z_0) - \phi_*, md_0^2\right\} + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\log\left(\frac{M+m}{m}\right)\right)$$

• D-AIPP in this paper

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M^{1/2}m^{3/2}D^2}{\hat{\rho}^2} + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\log\left(\frac{M+m}{m}\right)\right)$$

• AG by Ghadimi and Lan

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MmD^2}{\hat{
ho}^2} + \left(\frac{Md_0}{\hat{
ho}}\right)^{2/3}\right)$$

• AIPP by Kong, Melo and Monteiro

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{Mm}}{\hat{\rho}^2}\min\left\{\phi(z_0) - \phi_*, md_0^2\right\} + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\log\left(\frac{M+m}{m}\right)\right)$$

• D-AIPP in this paper

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M^{1/2}m^{3/2}D^2}{\hat{\rho}^2} + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\log\left(\frac{M+m}{m}\right)\right)$$

• AG by Ghadimi and Lan

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{MmD^2}{\hat{\rho}^2} + \left(\frac{Md_0}{\hat{\rho}}\right)^{2/3}\right)$$

• AIPP by Kong, Melo and Monteiro

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\sqrt{Mm}}{\hat{\rho}^2}\min\left\{\phi(z_0)-\phi_*,md_0^2\right\}+\sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\log\left(\frac{M+m}{m}\right)\right)$$

• D-AIPP in this paper

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M^{1/2}m^{3/2}D^2}{\hat{\rho}^2} + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\log\left(\frac{M+m}{m}\right)\right)$$







GAIPP framework

- 0. Let $x_0 = y_0 \in \text{dom} h$, $0 < \theta < \alpha$, $\delta \ge 0$, $0 < \kappa < \min\{1, 1/\alpha\}$ be given, and set k = 0 and $A_0 = 0$;
- 1. compute

$$a_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4A_k}}{2}, \quad A_{k+1} = A_k + a_k, \quad \tilde{x}_k = \frac{A_k}{A_{k+1}}y_k + \frac{a_k}{A_{k+1}}x_k;$$

2. choose $\lambda_k > 0$ and find a triple $(y_{k+1}, \tilde{v}_{k+1}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+1})$ satisfying

$$\tilde{v}_{k+1} \in \partial_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+1}} \left(\lambda_k \phi(\cdot) + \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot - \tilde{x}_k \|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \| \cdot - y_{k+1} \|^2 \right) (y_{k+1}),$$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha + \delta} \| \tilde{v}_{k+1} + \delta(y_{k+1} - \tilde{x}_k) \|^2 + 2\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+1} \le (\kappa \alpha + \delta) \| y_{k+1} - \tilde{x}_k \|^2;$$

3. compute

$$x_{k+1} := \frac{-\tilde{v}_{k+1} + \alpha y_{k+1} + \delta x_k/a_k - (1 - 1/a_k)\theta y_k}{\alpha - \theta + (\theta + \delta)/a_k};$$

4. set $k \leftarrow k+1$ and go to step 1.

<ロ > < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > こ き く こ > こ の Q (~ 10 / 26

modified FISTA

0. Let $x_0 = y_0 \in \text{dom } h$, a pair $(m, M) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{++}$ satisfying (A2), a tolerance $\bar{\rho} \in \mathbb{R}_{++}$ be given, and set k = 0 and $A_0 = 0$; also, choose positive parameters $0 < \theta < \alpha < 1$ and $\delta \ge 0$;

1. compute

$$a_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4A_k}}{2}, \quad A_{k+1} = A_k + a_k, \quad \tilde{x}_k = \frac{A_k}{A_{k+1}}y_k + \frac{a_k}{A_{k+1}}x_k;$$

2. choose $0 < \lambda < \min\{\alpha/M, (1-\alpha)/m\}$ and set y_{k+1} to be

$$y_{k+1} := \operatorname{argmin} \left\{ \ell_f(\cdot; \tilde{x}_k) + h + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \| \cdot - \tilde{x}_k \|^2 \right\};$$

3. compute

$$x_{k+1} := \frac{-\lambda [\nabla f(y_{k+1}) - \nabla f(\tilde{x}_k)] + \alpha y_{k+1} + \delta x_k/a_k - (1 - 1/a_k)\theta y_k}{\alpha - \theta + (\theta + \delta)/a_k};$$

4. set $k \leftarrow k+1$ and go to step 1.

Lemma

Define

$$\beta := 3 + \frac{4(\theta + \delta)}{\alpha - \theta}, \quad \tau_0 := \frac{\sqrt{\kappa \alpha + \delta}}{\sqrt{\alpha + \delta}}.$$
(8)

where α , θ , δ and κ are the parameters as in step 0 of the GAIPP framework. Then, $\tau_0 < 1$ and, for every $\bar{x} \in \text{dom } h$, we have

$$||x_k - \bar{x}|| \le \tau_0^k ||x_0 - \bar{x}|| + \frac{\beta}{1 - \tau_0} D \quad \forall k \ge 1.$$

where D is as in (A3). As a consequence, $\{x_k\}$ is bounded.

Results – convergence

Proposition

For every $k \ge 0$,

$$\frac{1-\kappa\alpha}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}A_{i+1}\|\tilde{x}_i-y_{i+1}\|^2 \le \left[\frac{\theta+\delta}{2}+(1-\theta)\frac{2\beta^2k}{(1-\tau_0)^2}+(1-\theta)\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}a_i\right]D^2.$$

As a consequence,

$$\min_{0 \le i \le k-1} \frac{\|\tilde{x}_i - y_{i+1}\|^2}{\lambda_i^2} \le \frac{\left[\theta + \delta + c_0 k + 2\left(1 - \theta\right) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i\right] D^2}{(1 - \kappa \alpha) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A_{i+1} \lambda_i^2}$$

where

$$c_0 := \frac{4(1-\theta)\beta^2}{(1-\tau_0)^2} = \mathcal{O}(\delta^4)$$

and β and τ_0 are as in (8).

Corollary

If, for some $\underline{\lambda} > 0$, we have $\lambda_i \geq \underline{\lambda}$ for every $i = 0, \cdots, k-1$, then

$$\min_{0 \le i \le k-1} \frac{\|\tilde{x}_i - y_{i+1}\|^2}{\lambda_i^2} \le \frac{D^2}{(1 - \kappa \alpha)\underline{\lambda}^2} \left[\frac{12(\theta + \delta)}{k^3} + \frac{12c_0}{k^2} + \frac{8(1 - \theta)}{k} \right].$$

Consequently,

$$\min_{0 \le i \le k-1} \frac{\|\tilde{x}_i - y_{i+1}\|^2}{\lambda_i^2} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{D^2}{\underline{\lambda}^2 k}\right).$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 三日

14 / 26









Recall that, in the GAIPP framework, we solve a subproblem

$$\tilde{v}_{k+1} \in \partial_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+1}} \left(\lambda_k \phi(\cdot) + \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot - \tilde{x}_k \|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \| \cdot - y_{k+1} \|^2 \right) (y_{k+1}),$$

in each outer iteration.

In fact, when the objective function in the parentheses are strongly convex, we solve

$$\min\{\psi(z) := \psi_s(z) + \psi_n(z) : z \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$
(9)

where the following conditions hold:

- (B1) $\psi_n : \mathbb{R}^n \to (-\infty, +\infty]$ is a proper, closed and μ -strongly convex function with $\mu \ge 0$;
- (B2) ψ_s is a convex differentiable function whose gradient is *L*-Lipschitz continuous on the domain of ψ_n .

Accelerated Composite Gradient (ACG) Method

- 0. Let a pair of functions (ψ_s, ψ_n) as in (9) and initial point $z_0 \in \operatorname{dom} \psi_n$ be given, and set $y_0 = z_0$, $B_0 = 0$, $\Gamma_0 \equiv 0$ and j = 0;
- 1. compute

$$\begin{split} B_{j+1} &= B_j + \frac{\mu B_j + 1 + \sqrt{(\mu B_j + 1)^2 + 4L(\mu B_j + 1)B_j}}{2L}, \\ \tilde{z}_j &= \frac{B_j}{B_{j+1}} z_j + \frac{B_{j+1} - B_j}{B_{j+1}} y_j, \quad \Gamma_{j+1} = \frac{B_j}{B_{j+1}} \Gamma_j + \frac{B_{j+1} - B_j}{B_{j+1}} l_{\psi_s}(\cdot, \tilde{z}_j), \\ y_{j+1} &= \operatorname*{argmin}_y \left\{ \Gamma_{j+1}(y) + \psi_n(y) + \frac{1}{2B_{j+1}} \|y - y_0\|^2 \right\}, \\ z_{j+1} &= \frac{B_j}{B_{j+1}} z_j + \frac{B_{j+1} - B_j}{B_{j+1}} y_{j+1}, \end{split}$$

2. compute

$$u_{j+1} = \frac{y_0 - y_{j+1}}{B_{j+1}},$$

 $\eta_{j+1} = \psi(z_{j+1}) - \Gamma_{j+1}(y_{j+1}) - \psi_n(y_{j+1}) - \langle u_{j+1}, z_{j+1} - y_{j+1} \rangle;$ 3. set $j \leftarrow j+1$ and go to step 1.

Proposition

Let positive constants α , δ and κ be given and consider the sequence $\{(B_j, \Gamma_j, z_j, u_j, \eta_j)\}$ generated by the ACG method applied to (9) where (ψ_s, ψ_n) is a given pair of data functions satisfying (B1) and (B2) with $\mu \ge 0$. The ACG method obtains a triple $(z, u, \eta) = (z_j, u_j, \eta_j)$ satisfying

$$u \in \partial_{\eta}(\psi_s + \psi_n)(z) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha + \delta} \|u_j + \delta(z_j - z_0)\|^2 + 2\eta_j \le (\kappa \alpha + \delta) \|z_j - z_0\|^2$$

in at most

$$\left[2\sqrt{\frac{L(\kappa+1)}{\kappa\alpha+(\kappa+1)\delta}}\right]$$

iterations.

D-AIPP method

0. Let $x_0 = y_0 \in \operatorname{dom} h$, a pair $(m, M) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{++}$ satisfying (A2), a stepsize $0 < \lambda \leq 1/(2m)$, and a tolerance pair $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\varepsilon}) \in \mathbb{R}^2_{++}$ be given, and set k = 0, $A_0 = 0$ and $\xi = 1 - \lambda m$; also, choose parameters $0 < \theta < \xi/2$, $\delta \geq 0$;

1. compute

$$a_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4A_k}}{2}, \quad A_{k+1} = A_k + a_k, \quad \tilde{x}_k = \frac{A_k}{A_{k+1}}y_k + \frac{a_k}{A_{k+1}}x_k;$$

and perform at least $\left\lceil 6\sqrt{2\lambda M}+1\right\rceil$ iterations of the ACG method started from \tilde{x}_k and with

$$\psi_s = \psi_s^k := \lambda f + \frac{1}{4} \| \cdot -\tilde{x}_k \|^2, \quad \psi_n = \psi_n^k := \lambda h + \frac{1}{4} \| \cdot -\tilde{x}_k \|^2$$

to obtain a triple (z, u, η) satisfying

$$u \in \partial_{\eta} \left(\lambda \phi(\cdot) + \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot -\tilde{x}_{k} \|^{2} \right) (z),$$

$$\frac{1}{\xi/2 + \delta} \| u + \delta(z - \tilde{x}_{k}) \|^{2} + 2\eta \le (\xi/4 + \delta) \| z - \tilde{x}_{k} \|^{2};$$
(11)

19 / 26

イロン 不良 とくほど 不良 とうほう

2. if

$$\|z - \tilde{x}_k\| \le \frac{\lambda \bar{\rho}}{2}$$

then go to step 3; otherwise, set $(y_{k+1},\tilde{v}_{k+1},\tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+1})=(z,u,2\eta)$,

$$x_{k+1} := \frac{-\tilde{v}_{k+1} + \xi y_{k+1}/2 + \delta x_k/a_k - (1 - 1/a_k)\theta y_k}{\xi/2 - \theta + (\theta + \delta)/a_k};$$

and $k \leftarrow k + 1$, and go to step 1;

3. restart the previous call to the ACG method in step 1 to find an iterate $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\eta})$ satisfying (10), (11) with (z, u, η) replaced by $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\eta})$ and the extra condition

$$\tilde{\eta} \leq \lambda \bar{\varepsilon}$$

and set $(y_{k+1}, \tilde{v}_{k+1}, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+1}) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{u}, 2\tilde{\eta})$; finally, output $(\lambda, y^-, y, v, \varepsilon)$ where

$$(y^-, y, v, \varepsilon) = (\tilde{x}_k, y_{k+1}, \tilde{v}_{k+1}/\lambda, \tilde{\varepsilon}_{k+1}/(2\lambda)).$$

Lemma

Assume that $\psi \in \overline{\text{Conv}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a ξ -strongly convex function and let $(y,\eta) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ be such that $0 \in \partial_n \psi(y)$. Then,

$$0 \in \partial_{2\eta} \left(\psi - \frac{\xi}{4} \| \cdot -y \|^2 \right) (y).$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日

21/26

Lemma

The following statements hold about the algorithm D-AIPP:

- (a) it is a special implementation of the GAIPP with $\alpha = \xi/2$, and $\kappa = 1/2$;
- (b) the number of outer of iterations performed by the D-AIPP is bounded by

$$\mathcal{O}\left(rac{D^2}{\lambda^2ar{
ho}^2}
ight)$$

(c) at every outer iteration, the numer of calls to the ACG method in step 2 finds a triple (z, u, η) satisfying (10) and (11) is at most

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\lambda M+1}\right);$$

(d) at the last outer iteration, say the K-th one, the triple $(\tilde{z}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{\eta})$ satisfies $\|\tilde{x}_K - \tilde{z}\| \leq \lambda \bar{\rho}, \tilde{\eta} \leq \lambda \bar{\varepsilon}$ and the extra number of ACG iterations is bounded by

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\lambda M+1}\log_1^+\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}\sqrt{\lambda^2 M+\lambda}}{\sqrt{\bar{\varepsilon}}}\right)\right)$$

Theorem

The D-AIPP method terminates with a $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\varepsilon})$ -prox-solution $(\lambda, y^-, y, v, \varepsilon)$ by performing a total number of inner iterations bounded by

$$\mathcal{O}\left\{\sqrt{\lambda M+1}\left[\frac{D^2}{\lambda^2\bar{\rho}^2}+\log_1^+\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}\sqrt{\lambda^2 M+\lambda}}{\sqrt{\bar{\varepsilon}}}\right)\right]\right\}.$$

As a consequence, if $\lambda=\Theta\left(1/m\right)$, the above inner-iteration complexity reduces to

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M^{1/2}m^{3/2}D^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\log_1^+\left(\frac{\bar{\rho}\sqrt{M}}{m\sqrt{\bar{\varepsilon}}}\right)\right).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

• $\hat{\rho}$ -approximate solution: if $(\hat{z}, \hat{v}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies

 $\hat{v} \in \nabla f(\hat{z}) + \partial h(\hat{z}), \quad \|\hat{v}\| \le \hat{\rho}$

• $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\varepsilon})$ -prox-approximate solution: if $(\lambda, z^-, z, w, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_{++} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfies $w \in \partial_{\varepsilon} \left(\phi + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \| \cdot -z^- \|^2 \right) (z), \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} (z^- - z) \right\| \leq \bar{\rho}, \quad \varepsilon \leq \bar{\varepsilon}.$

Corollary

Let a tolerance $\hat{\rho} > 0$ be given and let $(\lambda, y^-, y, v, \varepsilon)$ be the output obtained by the D-AIPP method with inputs $\lambda = 1/(2m)$ and $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\varepsilon})$ defined as

$$ar{
ho}:=rac{\hat{
ho}}{4}$$
 and $ar{arepsilon}:=rac{\hat{
ho}^2}{32(M+2m)}.$

Then the following statements hold:

(a) the number of inner iterations for D-AIPP method to terminate is at most

$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{M^{1/2}m^{3/2}D^2}{\hat{\rho}^2} + \sqrt{\frac{M}{m}}\log_1^+\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)\right)$$

(b) if ∇g is *M*-Lipschitz continuous, then the pair $(\hat{z}, \hat{v}) = (z_g, v_g)$ computed according to (5) and (7) is a $\hat{\rho}$ -approximate solution of (1), i.e., (3) holds.

Thank you!

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで

26 / 26